

---

Number	GOV2
Indicator name	Funds spent on the implementation of adaptation measures
Area	G
Indicator definition	<p>The indicator evaluates the ratio of funds spent on the implementation of all adaptation measures / activities in the reference year (planned in the relevant adaptation strategy) from the total expenditures of the city/city district/municipality in the given year. This indicator includes all finances (own, obtained from external European or other sources) that have passed through the budget of the city/city district/municipality.</p>
Indicator unit	%
Key words	Financial expenditures on adaptation measures in the city / borough / municipality, budget
Reason for tracking and usability	<p>The city/city district/municipality that is purposefully adapted, resp. considers as a priority to adapt to the impacts of the climate change, is considered such a city/city district/municipality that plans (allocates) and implements adequate financial resources to reduce the vulnerability of the city/city district/municipality to the impacts of climate change. It is assumed that these financial resources are both allocated on the basis of the existing adaptation strategy / plan and at the same time are competently selected in terms of their effectiveness and efficiency. Expenditures on adaptation measures include the so-called soft adaptation measures (e.g. preparation or updating of adaptation strategy itself, necessary related studies and analyses, creation of job (s) within the municipality in order to improve the adaptation process, targeted information campaigns, conferences, seminars, exhibitions, creation of better conditions for population protection etc.). Expenditure includes only those funds that are targeted at reducing vulnerability to climate change and not those that are made for other purposes, and coincidentally (without being explicitly included in the adaptation plan / strategy) may have also the adaptive effect.</p>

---

Completeness, representativeness, validity

The percentage of funds spent on reducing climate vulnerability in relation to all local public municipality's expenditures in a given year reflects quite representatively the priority given by the municipality to adapt to climate change, but also its efforts to reduce the vulnerability of the city. The indicator has some limits, in sense that, even if the municipality proceeds systematically and intensively in the process of adaptation, e.g. has a clear adaptation policy and plan and at the same time applies this policy / plan in a large number of adaptation measures, which are useful and necessary, but low-cost, so it can then be rated lower than a municipality that makes only one, but high - cost adaptation measure.

Description of data processing

Data are obtained from the final account of the given municipality for the previous (reference year).

Data source

The data source is the city/city district/municipality itself

Tracking frequency

1 x every year

Urban influence

City/city district/municipality directly influences this indicator

Presentation method

The results will be presented in a single Klimasken framework on a five-step scale according to specified intervals:

Responsibility

Klimasken processor, city/city district/municipality