
| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Number | GOV3 |
| Indicator name | Existence of a low carbon strategy / policy / action plan |
| Area | G |
| Indicator definition | <p>The indicator includes 5 sub-components that assess the existence of a strategic document (climate protection policy, low-carbon strategy, climate strategy, etc.) in the city, the SECAP process, the professional capacity of the office, management and implementation and collection of relevant data. If the Office is involved in the Covenant of Mayors and processes SECAP, this will also be reflected in the evaluation of sub-indicators.</p> <p>Sub-indicators: a) Existence of a low-carbon plan / strategy, b) Translating a low-carbon plan into a strategic plan / Economic and Social Development Program (PHSR), c) Translating mitigations into the organizational structure of self-government (position for coordination / implementation), d) Professional capacity (expert working group, agreements with external experts, memoranda and agreements with professional institutions, demonstrable cooperation with experts) for mitigations, e) Systematic data collection (impact / status / response) and their updating - relevance for reducing emissions.</p> |
| Indicator unit | % |
| Key words | Low carbon strategy, mitigation, planning and decision-making processes in the city / district / municipality, institutional readiness. |
| Reason for tracking and usability | Cities / towns / municipalities and their municipalities have a major role to play in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The implementation of mitigation measures must be well planned, institutionally protected and evaluated. Mitigation principles should also be reflected in the Office's other strategies. The purpose of the indicator is to evaluate the above aspects from the point of view of an independent evaluator. |

Completeness,
representativeness, validity

Compliance with this indicator is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for assessing the status and extent of mitigation measures of the city / city district / municipality. Part of the area of reducing greenhouse gas emissions (mitigation) is the reflection of these measures in decision-making processes, including planning, permitting and decision-making processes, as well as processes of infrastructure creation and maintenance. The indicator well represents the area of the institutional framework of mitigation measures in the city / city district / municipality, but does not cover the mitigation measures of other stakeholders (eg private sector, households). The indicator has its limits in the subjective assessment of the quality of the strategic and institutional environment of self-government, because there are no norms / standards for similar strategies and their evaluation.

Description of data processing

Data are obtained either directly (from the description of strategic development documents, organizational structure and organizational rules) or indirectly from the review of individual decisions or permits, or from a survey among executive and elected representatives of local government, or by the public.

5 (E) – 0 points

The city / city district / municipality does not carry out any systematic activities in the area of reducing greenhouse gas emissions (mitigation) or solves them only in a partial and unsystematic way (e.g. gradual insulation of schools / kindergartens).

4 (D) –1 point

The city / city district / municipality has developed a comprehensive low-carbon strategy / plan or SECAP (if the city is party to the Covenant of Mayors).

3 (C) – 2 points

The comprehensive low-carbon strategy is reflected in the key development documents of the city (Slovak Republic – Economic Development and Social Development Plan (PHSR) and / or Spatial Plan (ÚPN), Czech Republic: City Development Program / Strategy, Spatial Plan (ÚP), etc.).

2 (B) –3 points

In addition to the reflection of the low-carbon strategy in the documents of the city / city district / municipality, it is also reflected in the organizational structure and organizational rules of self-government (city / city district / municipality has a position for coordination / implementation, or relevant positions).

1 (A)

In addition to points 4 (D), 3 (C) and 2 (B), the city / city district /municipality has developed and implemented an implementation mechanism to monitor, evaluate and update the adaptation strategy of the city / city district / municipality (including systematic data collection).

Data source

The source of data are cities/ city districts / municipalities.

Tracking frequency

1x in 2 years

Urban influence

The city / city district / municipality directly influences this indicator.

Presentation method

The results will be presented in a single KLIMASKEN framework on a five-step scale according to specified intervals.

Responsibility

Processor KLIMASKEN, city, city district, municipality
